arXiv:dg-ga/9702005v1 7 Feb 1997

H-projectively-equivalent four-dimensional Kähler manifolds

Dmitry A. Kalinin
Department of General Relativity
and Gravitation Kazan State University
18 Kremlyovskaya Ul. Kazan 420008 Russia
E-mail: `Dmitry.Kalinin@ksu.ru`

Abstract

The paper is devoted to the investigation of four-dimensional Kähler manifolds admitting non-affine H-projective mappings. We find all such manifolds which are non-Einstein. In the paper also Kähler manifolds admitting infinitesimal H-projective transformations are determined. It is proved that the class of Kähler manifolds admitting H-projective mappings includes generalized equidistant Kähler manifolds. The explicit expression for the metrics of Ricci-flat four-dimensional generalized equidistant manifolds is given.

1 Introduction

Kähler manifolds was introduced by P. A. Shirokov [19] and E. Kähler [16] in the first part of our century. Since that time they gained applications in a wide variety of fields both in mathematics and physics. These fields are algebraic geometry, dynamical systems, general relativity theory, superstring theory and nonlinear σ-models, quantum theory *etc.* [1, 4, 8, 11, 12, 14].

In 1954 Otsuki and Tashiro [18] have generalized the projective mappings of (pseudo) Riemannian manifolds [2, 20] by introducing the notion of H-projective mappings of Kähler manifolds. It is well-known that investigation of dynamical systems of second order with common trajectories can be reduced to solving the problem of finding pseudo Riemannian (and affine connected) manifolds admitting projective mapping. Similarly, the investigation of H-projective mappings of Kähler manifolds is closely related to the problem of trajectory equivalency of dynamical systems of second order with non-zero external forces of special form [12, 14].

To the present time wide variety Kähler manifolds *not admitting* H-projective mappings is found. These are equidistant [17], generalized symmetric and recurrent manifolds different from manifolds of constant holomorphic sectional curvature [20]. At the same time, some general methods of finding H-projective mappings for given Kähler manifold were also developed [20, 21].

However, many essential problems to be solved remain in the theory of H-projective mappings. Above all should be referred the problem of finding Riemannian metrics and connections for Kähler manifolds admitting non-affine H-projective mappings. To the present this problem is unsolved ever in the case of lower dimensions. Some approaches to its solution was proposed earlier [3] by the author.

The present paper is devoted to finding of four-dimensional Kähler manifolds admitting non-affine H-projective mappings. We solve this problem for non-Einstein manifolds as well as in the case of infinitesimal transformations. We proved that non-Einstein Kähler manifolds admitting H-projective mappings are generalized equidistant manifolds. Moreover, it is possible to prove that generalized equidistant Kähler manifolds admit H-progective mappings in general case. In the last part of the paper Einstein and Ricci-flat generalized equidistant Kähler manifolds having special interest from the physical point of wiev are considered. explicit expression for the metrics of Ricci-flat four-dimensional generalized equidistant manifolds is found. This paper is corrected and extended version of [5] (see also [13]).

2 Differential geometry of Kähler manifolds

Let us start from reminding some relevant facts on differential geometry of Kähler manifolds [15, 20].

An $2n$-dimensional ($n > 1$) smooth manifold M is called to be *almost complex* if the *almost complex structure* $J_p : T_M \to T_M$, $J^2 = -\mathrm{id}|_{TM}$ is defined in its tangent bundle. An (1,2)-tensor field N on M defined by the formula

$$N(X, Y) = 2([JX, JY] - [X, Y] - J[X, JY] - J[JX, Y]),$$

for any vector fields X, Y is called *torsion* of almost complex structure J . If N vanishes then J is called *complex structure*. In this case (M, J) is called to be *integrable manifold*.

Let M be integrable almost complex manifold with complex structure J . According to Newlander-Nirenberg theorem [15], there exists the unique complex manifold M^c coinciding with M as topological space and such that its complex analytic structure induces the complex structure J and the structure of differential manifold on M .

The tangent bundle TM^c is $\mathbb{C}$-linear isomorphic to the bundle TM with the structure of complex bundle induced by the operators J_p , $p \in M$ so that there is a canonical $\mathbb{C}$-linear bundle isomorphism

$$TM \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C} \cong TM^c \oplus \overline{TM^c} \tag{1}$$

where $TM \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ is complexification of tangent bundle TM and bar denotes the complex conjugation.

Let (U, z^α) , $\alpha = 1, ..., n$ be a chart on M^c . If M is integrable almost complex manifold corresponding to M^c then we shall say that $(U, z^\alpha, \overline{z^\alpha})$, $\alpha = 1, ..., n$ is *complex chart* on M . Because of isomorphism (1) the vector fields $\partial_\alpha \equiv \partial/\partial z^\alpha, \partial_{\overline{\alpha}} \equiv \partial/\partial\overline{z^\alpha}$, $\alpha = 1, ..., n$ define a basis in $TM \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$. Any real tensor field T on M can be uniquely extended to the smooth field of elements of tensor algebras

$$\tilde{\mathbf{T}}_p M \equiv \bigoplus_{k_i=1}^{\infty} ((T_p M^c)^{\otimes k_1} \otimes (\overline{T_p M^c})^{\otimes k_2} \otimes (T^*_p M^c)^{\otimes k_3} \otimes (\overline{T^*_p M^c})^{\otimes k_4}).$$

As well as tensor T , this extension also is called tensor field and is denoted by the same letter T . The expansion of T with respect to the basis $(\partial_\alpha\ ,\ \partial_{\overline{\alpha}})_{\alpha=1,\dots,n}$ has the form

$$T = T^{i_1 \dots i_r}_{j_1 \dots j_s} \partial_{i_1} \otimes ... \otimes \partial_{i_r} \otimes dz^{j_1} \otimes ... \otimes dz^{j_s}, \quad T^{\overline{i_1} \dots \overline{i_r}}_{\overline{j_1} \dots \overline{j_s}} = \overline{T^{i_1 \dots i_r}_{j_1 \dots j_s}}.$$

Here Latin indices $i, j, k, ... = 1, ..., 2n$ run over the sets of bared $(\overline{\alpha}, \overline{\beta}, \overline{\gamma}, ...)$ and unbarred $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, ...)$ Greek indices which are varied from 1 to n . The functions $T^{i_1 \dots i_r}_{j_1 \dots j_s}$ are called to be *components of tensor field* T *in coordinates* $(z^\alpha, \overline{z^\alpha})$.

In particular, complex structure J can be uniquely extended to $\mathbb{C}$-linear endomorphism in $TM \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$. The action of complex structure on the elements of coordinate basis $(\partial_\alpha\ ,\ \partial_{\bar{\alpha}})$, $\alpha = 1, ..., n$ is defined by the equalities $J\partial_\alpha = i\partial_\alpha$, $J\partial_{\overline{\alpha}} = -i\partial_{\overline{\alpha}}$.

Let us call *holomorphic transformation* the coordinate transformation of the form $z'^{\alpha} = w^{\alpha}(z)$, $z'^{\overline{\alpha}} = \overline{w^{\alpha}(z)}$ where $w^{\alpha}(z)$ are complex analytic functions. Let $X = \xi^i \partial_i$, $\xi^{\overline{\mu}} = \overline{\xi^{\mu}}$ be a real vector field on M. If the Lie derivative $L_X J$ is equal to zero then X is called to be *holomorphic vector field*. This condition in a complex chart $(U, z^{\alpha}, z^{\overline{\alpha}})_{\alpha=1,...,n}$ reduces to the equalities $\partial_{\overline{\nu}} \xi^{\mu} = \partial_{\nu} \xi^{\overline{\mu}} = 0$, $\mu, \nu = 1, ..., n$. Using holomorphic coordinate transformations any holomorphic vector field can be reduced to the form $X = \partial_1 + \partial_{\overline{1}}$ [21].

An integrable almost complex manifold M is called to be *Kähler manifold* if a pseudo Riemannian metric g is defined on M satisfying the following conditions [15, 20]

$$g(JX, JY) = g(X, Y), \qquad \nabla J = 0, \qquad (X, Y \in TM). \tag{2}$$

Here ∇ is Levi-Civita connection of the metric g. The bilinear form

$$\Omega(X, Y) = g(JX, Y) \tag{3}$$

is called *fundamental* 2*-form* of Kähler manifold M. ¿From Eqs. (2), (3) and condition $J^2 = -\mathrm{id}|_{TM}$ it follows that Ω is closed 2-form: $d\Omega = 0$.

Let $(U, z, \overline{z})$ be complex chart on (M, g) and ∂_{α}, $\partial_{\overline{\alpha}}$, $\alpha = 1, ..., n$ are coordinate vector fields. Then the components of the metric g, complex structure J and fundamental 2-form Ω in this chart are defined by the folowing conditions

$$g_{\alpha\overline{\beta}} = \overline{g_{\overline{\alpha}\beta}}, \qquad g_{\alpha\beta} = g_{\overline{\alpha}\overline{\beta}} = 0, \qquad g^{\alpha\overline{\beta}} = \overline{g^{\overline{\alpha}\beta}}, \qquad g^{\alpha\beta} = g^{\overline{\alpha}\overline{\beta}} = 0, \tag{4}$$

$$J^{\alpha}_{\beta} = -J^{\overline{\alpha}}_{\overline{\beta}} = i\delta^{\alpha}_{\beta}, \qquad J^{\alpha}_{\overline{\beta}} = J^{\overline{\alpha}}_{\beta} = 0, \tag{5}$$

$$\Omega_{\alpha\overline{\beta}} = -i g_{\alpha\overline{\beta}}, \qquad \Omega_{\overline{\alpha}\beta} = -\overline{\Omega_{\alpha\overline{\beta}}}, \qquad \Omega_{\alpha\beta} = \Omega_{\overline{\alpha}\overline{\beta}}. \tag{6}$$

In the same coordinates the condition $d\Omega = 0$ takes the form

$$\partial_{\alpha} g_{\beta\overline{\gamma}} = \partial_{\beta} g_{\alpha\overline{\gamma}}, \qquad \partial_{\overline{\alpha}} g_{\overline{\beta}\gamma} = \partial_{\overline{\beta}} g_{\overline{\alpha}\gamma}. \tag{7}$$

¿From here it follows that in U exists a real-valued function Φ obeying

$$g_{\alpha\overline{\beta}} = \partial_{\alpha} \partial_{\overline{\beta}} \Phi. \tag{8}$$

This function is called *Kähler potential* of the metric g and is defined up to the transformations

$$\Phi' = \Phi + f(z) + \overline{f(z)} \tag{9}$$

where $f(z)$ is an appropriate holomorphic function. Such transformations are called *gauge transformations* of Kähler potential [5].

¿From Eqs. (4)–(8) it follows that the only non-vanishing Christoffel symbols are

$$\Gamma^{\alpha}_{\beta\nu} = \overline{\Gamma^{\overline{\alpha}}_{\overline{\beta}\overline{\nu}}} = g^{\alpha\overline{\mu}} \partial_{\beta} g_{\overline{\mu}\nu} \tag{10}$$

and non-zero components of Riemann and Ricci curvature tensors are defined by the conditions

$$R^{\alpha}_{\beta\mu\overline{\nu}} = \overline{R^{\overline{\alpha}}_{\overline{\beta}\overline{\mu}\nu}} = -R^{\alpha}_{\beta\overline{\nu}\mu} = -\overline{R^{\overline{\alpha}}_{\overline{\beta}\nu\overline{\mu}}} = -\partial_{\overline{\nu}} \Gamma^{\alpha}_{\beta\mu}, \tag{11}$$

$$R_{\alpha\overline{\beta}} = \partial_{\alpha} \partial_{\overline{\beta}} \ln(\det(g_{\mu\overline{\nu}})), \qquad R_{\alpha\overline{\beta}} = \overline{R_{\overline{\alpha}\beta}}. \tag{12}$$

3 H-projective mappings of Kähler manifolds

A smooth curve $\gamma : [0, 1] \to M : t \mapsto x_t$ on Kähler manifold M of real dimension $2n > 2$ is called to be H*-planar curve* if its tangent vector $\chi \equiv dx/dt$ obeys the equations

$$\nabla_{\chi} \chi = a(t)\chi + b(t)J(\chi)$$

where $a(t)$ and $b(t)$ are functions of the parameter t.

Let us consider two Kähler manifolds M, M' with metrics g, g' and complex structures J, J'. A diffeomorphism $f : M \to M'$ is called H*-projective mapping* if for any H-planar curve γ in M the curve $f \circ \gamma$ is H-planar curve in M'. Any H-projective mapping preserves the complex structure J [21], i.e. $f_* \circ J = J' \circ f_*$ where f_* is the differential of f. From here it follows that it is possible to choose such complex charts in M and M' that the corresponding points $p \in M$ and $p' = f(p) \in M'$ have the same coordinates and components of complex structures J, J' coincide and have the form (5). Such coordinate systems in M, M' is said to be *corresponding complex coordinates with respect to the mapping* f.

Necessarily and sufficient condition of f to be H-projective mapping can be expressed in corresponding coordinates by the equation [20]

$$\Gamma'^{i}_{jk} - \Gamma^{i}_{jk} = 2\delta^{i}_{(j}\psi_{,k)} - 2\psi_{,l}J^{l}_{(j}J^{i}_{k)} \tag{13}$$

where ψ is a real valued function, Γ'^{i}_{jk}, Γ^{i}_{jk} are Christoffel symbols of the metrics g, g' and $\psi_i = \psi_{,i} \equiv \partial_i\psi$ where comma denotes the covariant derivative with respect to g. If, in particular, $\psi_k = 0$ and $\psi =$const, then H-projective mapping preserves Riemannian connection and is called *affine* mapping. The Eq. (13) is equivalent to the following equation [3]

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla g'(Y,Z,W) &= 2g'(Y,Z)W\psi + g'(Z,W)Y\psi + g'(Y,W)Z\psi \\ &- (JY)(\psi)g'(Z,JW) - (JZ)(\psi)g'(Y,JW), \qquad (Y,Z,W \in TM). \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

Setting here $Y = \partial_\alpha$, $Z = \partial_{\overline{\beta}}$ and $W = \partial_\gamma$, with the help of (4), (5) we find

$$\begin{aligned} g'_{\alpha\overline{\beta},\gamma} &= 2g'_{\alpha\overline{\beta}}\psi_\gamma + 2g'_{\gamma\overline{\beta}}\psi_\alpha, \qquad g'_{\alpha\beta,\gamma} = g'_{\alpha\beta,\overline{\gamma}} = 0, \\ g'_{\alpha\overline{\beta},\overline{\gamma}} &= 2g'_{\alpha\overline{\beta}}\psi_{\overline{\gamma}} + 2g'_{\alpha\overline{\gamma}}\psi_{\overline{\beta}}, \qquad g'_{\overline{\alpha}\overline{\beta},\gamma} = g'_{\overline{\alpha}\overline{\beta},\overline{\gamma}} = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

Using *Sinyukov's* Γ*-transformation* [20]

$$a_{\alpha\overline{\beta}} = \overline{a_{\overline{\alpha}\beta}} = e^{2\psi}g'^{\lambda\overline{\mu}}g_{\alpha\overline{\mu}}g_{\lambda\overline{\beta}}, \qquad a_{\alpha\beta} = a_{\overline{\alpha}\overline{\beta}} = 0, \qquad g^{\alpha\overline{\beta}} = e^{-2\psi}a^{\alpha\overline{\beta}} \tag{16}$$

where

$$a^{\alpha\overline{\beta}} = a_{\mu\overline{\lambda}}g^{\alpha\overline{\lambda}}g^{\mu\overline{\beta}}, \qquad (g'^{\alpha\overline{\beta}}) = (g'_{\alpha\overline{\beta}})^{-1},$$

we can write Eq. (15) in the form

$$a_{\alpha\overline{\beta},\gamma} = \lambda_\alpha g_{\gamma\overline{\beta}}, \qquad a_{\alpha\overline{\beta},\overline{\gamma}} = \lambda_{\overline{\beta}}g_{\gamma\overline{\alpha}} \tag{17}$$

where

$$\lambda_\alpha = \overline{\lambda_{\overline{\alpha}}} = -2\psi_\nu e^{2\psi}g'^{\nu\overline{\mu}}g_{\alpha\overline{\mu}}.$$

Contracting (17) with $g^{\alpha\overline{\beta}}$, we obtain

$$\lambda_\gamma = \frac{1}{2}\partial_\gamma(g^{ij}a_{ij}) = \partial_\gamma(a_{\alpha\overline{\beta}}g^{\alpha\overline{\beta}}), \qquad \lambda_{\overline{\gamma}} = \frac{1}{2}\partial_{\overline{\gamma}}(g^{ij}a_{ij}) = \partial_{\overline{\gamma}}(a_{\alpha\overline{\beta}}g^{\alpha\overline{\beta}}) = \lambda_{\overline{\gamma}}. \tag{18}$$

¿From here it follows, that λ_i is a gradient field, i.e. there exists a real function λ such that $\lambda_i dz^i = d\lambda$ and $\lambda_i = \lambda_{,i}$.

The integrability conditions of Eq. (17) follows from the Ricci identity

$$2a_{kl,[ij]} = a_{sl}R^{s}_{kij} + a_{ks}R^{s}_{lij}. \tag{19}$$

For $(ijkl) = (\gamma\overline{\nu}\alpha\overline{\beta}), (\gamma\nu\alpha\overline{\beta})$ using (11) we find the following conditions

$$a_{\mu\overline{\beta}}R^{\mu}_{\alpha\gamma\overline{\nu}} + a_{\alpha\overline{\mu}}R^{\overline{\mu}}_{\overline{\beta}\gamma\overline{\nu}} = g_{\gamma\overline{\beta}}\lambda_{\alpha,\overline{\nu}} - g_{\alpha\overline{\nu}}\lambda_{\overline{\beta},\gamma}, \tag{20}$$

$$g_{\gamma\overline{\beta}}\lambda_{\alpha,\nu} - g_{\nu\overline{\beta}}\lambda_{\alpha,\gamma} = 0. \tag{21}$$

The remaining integrability conditions hold identitically or can be obtained from (20) and (21) by complex conjugation. Contracting (20) with $g^{\alpha\overline{\nu}}$, with the use of the formula $R^{\alpha}_{\beta\gamma\overline{\nu}}g^{\beta\overline{\nu}} = -R^{\alpha}_{\gamma}$, we receive

$$-a_{\mu\overline{\beta}}R^{\mu}_{\gamma} + a_{\alpha\overline{\mu}}R^{\overline{\mu}\ \ \alpha}_{\overline{\beta}\gamma} = g_{\gamma\overline{\beta}}g^{\alpha\overline{\nu}}\lambda_{\alpha,\overline{\nu}} - n\lambda_{\overline{\beta},\gamma}.$$

¿From here using (18) and $a_{\alpha\overline{\mu}} R^{\overline{\mu}}_{\overline{\beta}\gamma}{}^{\alpha} = a_{\alpha\overline{\mu}} R^{\alpha}_{\gamma\overline{\beta}}{}^{\overline{\mu}}$, it is easy to derive that $a_{\mu\overline{\beta}} R^{\mu}_{\gamma} - a_{\gamma\overline{\mu}} R^{\overline{\mu}}_{\overline{\beta}} = 0$. Contracting this equation with $g^{\overline{\beta}\nu}$, we find

$$a^{\nu}_{\mu} R^{\mu}_{\gamma} - a^{\mu}_{\gamma} R^{\nu}_{\mu} = 0. \tag{22}$$

Note, that as a consequence of (4), (17) we have $a^{\overline{\alpha}}_{\beta} = a^{\alpha}_{\overline{\beta}} = 0$. Contracting (21) with $g^{\gamma\overline{\beta}}$ one can find $(n-1)\lambda_{\alpha,\nu} = 0$ which means that $\lambda_{\alpha,\nu} = 0$ and $\lambda^{\alpha}_{,\overline{\nu}} = 0$, or, because of $\Gamma^{\alpha}_{\overline{\nu}i} = 0$,

$$\partial_{\overline{\nu}} \lambda^{\alpha} = 0, \qquad \partial_{\nu} \lambda^{\overline{\alpha}} = 0. \tag{23}$$

So, we come to conclusion that $\Lambda = \lambda^i \partial_i$ is holomorphic vector field. Using holomorphic coordinate transformations this vector field can be reduced to the form

$$\Lambda = \partial_1 + \partial_{\overline{1}}, \qquad \lambda^{\alpha} = \delta^{\alpha}_{1}, \qquad \lambda^{\overline{\alpha}} = \delta^{\alpha}_{1}. \tag{24}$$

Theorem 1 *Let* f *be non-affine* H *-projective mapping of Kähler manifold* (M,g) *on Kähler manifold* (M',g') *. Let also* $d\lambda = \lambda_{\alpha} dz^{\alpha} + \lambda_{\overline{\alpha}} dz^{\overline{\alpha}}$ *be the exact 1-form defined by Eqs.* (15) – (18)*. Then the real vector field* $J\Lambda = i\lambda^{\alpha}\partial_{\alpha} - i\lambda^{\overline{\alpha}}\partial_{\overline{\alpha}}$ *is infinitesimal isometry of* M *, i.e. the following Killing equations hold:* $L_{J\Lambda} g = 0$ *.*

Proof: Using Eqs. (10), (18) and (23)

$$-i\lambda_{\overline{\beta},\alpha} + i\lambda_{\alpha,\overline{\beta}} = -i\partial_{\alpha}\partial_{\overline{\beta}}(a_{\nu\overline{\mu}} g^{\nu\overline{\mu}}) + i\partial_{\overline{\beta}}\partial_{\alpha}(a_{\nu\overline{\mu}} g^{\nu\overline{\mu}}) = 0,$$

$$i\lambda_{\beta,\alpha} + i\lambda_{\alpha,\beta} = 0, \qquad -i\lambda_{\overline{\beta},\overline{\alpha}} - i\lambda_{\overline{\alpha},\overline{\beta}} = 0,$$

or

$$L_{\mu} g_{ij} \equiv \mu_{i,j} + \mu_{j,i} = 0, \qquad \mu = J\Lambda \tag{25}$$

where $\mu_i = g_{il}\mu^l$. Since Λ and μ don't vanish (since f is non-affine mapping) and $\mu^i \partial_i = J\Lambda$, then $J\Lambda$ is infinitesimal isometry. *Q.E.D.*

If we reduce Λ to (24), then the Killing equations take the form

$$(\partial_1 - \partial_{\overline{1}}) g_{\alpha\overline{\beta}} = 0. \tag{26}$$

Lemma 1 *If a Kähler manifold* (M,g) *admits infinitesimal isometry* $J\Lambda$ *where* Λ *is defined by* (24)*, then Kähler potential of* g *can be reduced to the following form*

$$\Phi = \Phi(z^1 + z^{\overline{1}}, z^2, z^{\overline{2}}, ...). \tag{27}$$

Proof: Using (8), we find from (26)

$$(\partial_1 - \partial_{\overline{1}}) g_{\alpha\overline{\beta}} = \partial_{\alpha}\partial_{\overline{\beta}}(\partial_1 - \partial_{\overline{1}})\Phi = 0. \tag{28}$$

Hence, $(\partial_1 - \partial_{\overline{1}})\Phi = f(z) + h(\overline{z})$ where f is a holomorphic function and h is an antiholomorphic function. Similarly, because of reality of the Kähler potential we have $(\partial_1 - \partial_{\overline{1}})\Phi = -\overline{(\partial_1 - \partial_{\overline{1}})\Phi}$ and $h(\overline{z}) = -\overline{f(z)}$. Let us change the Kähler potential using gauge transformations (9)

$$\Phi = \Phi' + \int f(z) dz^1 + \overline{\int f(z) dz^1} = \int f(z) dz^1 - \int h(\overline{z}) d\overline{z^1}.$$

Substituting this expression in (28), we obtain $(\partial_1 - \partial_{\overline{1}})\Phi' = 0$. From here, omitting the primes we find $\Phi = \Phi(z^1 + z^{\overline{1}}, z^2, z^{\overline{2}}, ...)$. *Q. E. D.*

In the following, if the conditions of Lemma 1 are satisfied, then we will suppose that Kähler potential is reduced to the form (27).

Let a Kähler manifold (M,g) admits non-affine H -projective mapping, then, according to Theorem 1 and Lemma 1 it admits infinitesimal isometry $J\Lambda = i(\partial_1 - \partial_{\overline{1}})$ and its Kähler potential can be reduced to the form (27). At the same time (17) yield

$$a^{\alpha}_{\beta,\overline{\gamma}} = \partial_{\overline{\gamma}} a^{\alpha}_{\beta} = \delta^{\alpha}_{1} g_{\beta\overline{\gamma}}, \qquad a^{\alpha}_{\beta} = g^{\alpha\overline{\sigma}} a_{\beta\overline{\sigma}}, \tag{29}$$

$$a^\alpha_{\beta,\gamma} = \lambda_{,\beta}\delta^\alpha_\gamma \tag{30}$$

and complex conjugated equations. From (30) it follows $\lambda = a^\alpha_\alpha = a^{\overline{\alpha}}_{\overline{\alpha}} = a_{\alpha\overline{\beta}}g^{\alpha\overline{\beta}}$. Integrating Eq. (29), we find

$$a^\alpha_\beta = \overline{a^{\overline{\alpha}}_{\overline{\beta}}} = \delta^\alpha_1\partial_\beta\Phi + h^\alpha_\beta \tag{31}$$

where h^α_β are holomorphic functions. Hence, $\lambda = \partial_1\Phi + h^\alpha_\alpha$. Because of reality of λ and $\partial_1\Phi$

$$h^\alpha_\alpha = h^{\overline{\alpha}}_{\overline{\alpha}} \equiv n\rho = \text{const}, \qquad \lambda = \partial_1\Phi + n\rho. \tag{32}$$

Note 1 *Here we have used the fact that a holomorphic function is real iff it is constant.*

Substituting (32) in (30), we have

$$a^\alpha_{\beta,\gamma} = g_{\beta\overline{1}}\delta^\alpha_\gamma. \tag{33}$$

4 Four-dimensional non-Einstein manifolds

Let (M_4, g) be a non-Einstein ($R^i_j \neq \kappa\delta^i_j$) Kähler manifold of dimension $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} M_4 = 4$ with Kähler potential Φ. Let M admits non-affine H-projective mapping on a Kähler manifold (M'_4, g') and let a be the tensor field be defined by Eq. (16). We can introduce tensor field $b^i_j = L_{J\Lambda}a^i_j$ where $J\Lambda$ is corresponding infinitesimal isometry (see Theorem 1).

If we choose local complex coordinates such that

$$\Lambda = \lambda^i\partial_i = \partial_1 + \partial_{\overline{1}}, \qquad J\Lambda = i(\partial_1 - \partial_{\overline{1}}). \tag{34}$$

then, according to (31) and Lemma 1,

$$a^\alpha_\beta = \overline{a^{\overline{\alpha}}_{\overline{\beta}}} = \delta^\alpha_1\partial_\beta\Phi + f^\alpha_\beta(z^1, z^2) + \rho\delta^\alpha_\beta, \qquad f^\alpha_\alpha = f^{\overline{\alpha}}_{\overline{\alpha}} = 0, \tag{35}$$

$$\Phi = \Phi(z^1 + z^{\overline{1}}, z^2, z^{\overline{2}}) \tag{36}$$

where $f^\alpha_\beta \equiv h^\alpha_\beta - \rho\delta^\alpha_\beta$ are holomorphic functions. From here

$$b^\alpha_\beta = \overline{b^{\overline{\alpha}}_{\overline{\beta}}} = i(\partial_1 - \partial_{\overline{1}})a^\alpha_\beta = i\partial_1 f^\alpha_\beta, \quad b^{\overline{\alpha}}_\beta = b^\alpha_{\overline{\beta}} = 0, \quad b^\sigma_\sigma = b^{\overline{\sigma}}_{\overline{\sigma}} = 0, \tag{37}$$

Admissible coordinate and gauge transformations which don't change the form of vector field $\Lambda = \partial_1 + \partial_{\overline{1}}$ and the form (36) of Kähler potential are

$$z'^1 = z^1 + l(z^2), \qquad z'^2 = m(z^2), \tag{38}$$

$$\Phi' = \Phi + r\cdot(z^1 + \overline{z^1}) + p(z^2) + \overline{p(z^2)}, \qquad r \in \mathbb{R} \tag{39}$$

where l, m, p are holomorphic functions depending on z^2. Taking the Lie derivative $J\Lambda$ from both parts of (22), we find

$$b^\nu_\mu R^\mu_\gamma - b^\mu_\gamma R^\nu_\mu = 0, \tag{40}$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} b^1_2 R^2_1 - b^2_1 R^1_2 &= 0, \\ 2b^1_1 R^1_2 + b^1_2(R^2_2 - R^1_1) &= 0, \\ 2b^1_1 R^2_1 + b^2_1(R^2_2 - R^1_1) &= 0. \end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

Using this formulas it is possible to prove the following

Lemma 2 *If a non-Einstein four-dimensional Kähler manifold M_4 admits non-affine H-projective mapping, then in a neighborhood of any point $p \in M_4$ exist complex coordinates in which the following relations hold*

$$a^\alpha_\beta = \delta^\alpha_1\partial_\beta\Phi + f^\alpha_\beta(z^2) + \rho\delta^\alpha_\beta, \qquad (\partial_1 - \partial_{\overline{1}})\Phi = 0. \tag{42}$$

We have placed the proof, which is rather long and technical, in Appendix A so as not interrupt exposition.

Admissible coordinate and gauge transformations not changing Eq. (42) are defined by the formulas (38) and (39). Using these transformations one can reduce f^α_β to one of the following forms:

a) $f^\alpha_\beta = \delta^\alpha_2 \delta^1_\beta$ for $f^2_1 \neq 0$,

b) $f^\alpha_\beta = \mu \varepsilon_\beta \delta^\alpha_\beta$, $\varepsilon_\beta = (-1)^{\beta+1}$ for $f^2_1 = 0$ (here the strikes are ommited).

If we admit the first possibility then come to contradiction with the assumption that M_4 is non-Einstein manifold (see proof in Appendix B).

In the second case ($c^2_1 = 0$) we have

$$a^\alpha_\beta = \delta^\alpha_1 \partial_\beta \Phi + \mu \varepsilon_\beta \delta^\alpha_\beta + \rho \delta^\alpha_\beta, \qquad \varepsilon_\beta = (-1)^{\beta+1}, \qquad \mu = \mu(z^2)$$

and from Eq. (22) it follows

$$R^2_1 = 0, \qquad (\partial_1 \Phi + 2\mu) R^1_2 + \partial_2 \Phi (R^2_2 - R^1_1) = 0. \tag{43}$$

Using the symmetry and reality of a , we find

$$g_{1\overline{1}}(\mu - \overline{\mu}) = 0, \tag{44}$$

$$\begin{aligned} g_{2\overline{1}} \partial_{\overline{2}} \Phi - g_{1\overline{2}} \partial_2 \Phi &= g_{2\overline{2}} (\overline{\mu} - \mu), \\ g_{1\overline{1}} \partial_{\overline{2}} \Phi - g_{1\overline{2}} \partial_1 \Phi &= g_{1\overline{2}} (\overline{\mu} + \mu). \end{aligned} \tag{45}$$

If $g_{1\overline{1}} \neq 0$ then from (44) it follows that $\mu = \overline{\mu}$. In the case $g_{1\overline{1}} = g_{2\overline{2}} = 0$ from (45) and non-degeneracy of g we find $\partial_1 \Phi = -(\mu + \overline{\mu})$. Similarly, using (7) and (8), we have

$$\partial_1 g_{1\overline{2}} = \partial_1 g_{2\overline{1}} = \partial_1 g_{2\overline{2}} = 0. \tag{46}$$

Therefore, $R^1_1 = R^2_2 = 0$ (see (12)) and from (43) $R^1_2(\mu - \overline{\mu}) = 0$. From here $R^1_2 = 0$ for $\mu \neq \overline{\mu}$, whence, $R^i_j = 0$ and M_4 is Einstein manifold that contradict to our initial assumption. Therefore, $\mu = \overline{\mu} = \mathrm{const}$.

Making the transformations $\rho' = \rho - \mu$, $\Phi' = \Phi + 2\mu(z^1 + \overline{z^1})$ and ommiting strikes, we can reduce a^α_β to the form

$$a^\alpha_\beta = \delta^\alpha_1 \partial_\beta \Phi + \rho \delta^\alpha_\beta. \tag{47}$$

The reality and symmetry conditions $g_{2\overline{1}} \partial_{\overline{2}} \Phi - g_{1\overline{2}} \partial_2 \Phi = 0$, $g_{1\overline{1}} \partial_{\overline{2}} \Phi - g_{1\overline{2}} \partial_1 \Phi = 0$ of the tensor a are equivalent to the equation

$$\partial_2 \Phi = \varphi \partial_1 \Phi \tag{48}$$

where $\varphi = \varphi(z^2, \overline{z^2})$ is a (complex) function depending on $x^2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(z^2 + \overline{z^2})$ and $y^2 = \frac{1}{i\sqrt{2}}(z^2 - \overline{z^2})$. From here with the use of Eq. (8) we find

$$g_{2\overline{1}} = \varphi g_{1\overline{1}}, \quad g_{1\overline{2}} = \overline{\varphi} g_{1\overline{1}}, \quad g_{2\overline{2}} = \partial_{\overline{2}} \varphi \partial_1 \Phi + \varphi \overline{\varphi} g_{1\overline{1}}.$$

¿From the condition $g_{2\overline{2}} = \overline{g_{2\overline{2}}}$ it follows $\partial_{\overline{2}} \varphi \partial_1 \Phi = \partial_2 \overline{\varphi} \partial_{\overline{1}} \Phi$, and because of Lemma 1 and the formula $\partial_1 \Phi \neq 0$

$$\partial_{\overline{2}} \varphi = \partial_2 \overline{\varphi}. \tag{49}$$

This equation can be treated as integrability condition of the system

$$\varphi = \partial_2 F, \qquad \overline{\varphi} = \partial_{\overline{2}} F \tag{50}$$

where F is a real function depending on z^2 and $z^{\overline{2}}$. If the equation (49) holds, then (50) has a solution F . Substituting it in (48), we find

$$\partial_2 \Phi = \partial_2 F \partial_1 \Phi. \tag{51}$$

Here $\partial_2 \partial_{\overline{2}} \Phi \neq 0$, because in opposite case $\det(g_{\alpha\overline{\beta}}) = \partial_1 \partial_1 \Phi \partial_1 \Phi \partial_{\overline{2}} \varphi = 0$.

The Eq. (17) holds identically because of (47), (48) and (51). Let $\tilde F$ be a real function functionally independent from F. Introducing new variables $u = F(z^2, \overline{z^2})$ and $v = \tilde F(z^2, \overline{z^2})$, we obtain from (51)

$$\partial_u\Phi + \frac{\partial_2\tilde F}{\partial_2 F}\partial_v\Phi = \partial_1\Phi.$$

¿From here, taking into account reality of the functions F, u and v as well as the identity $(\partial_1 - \partial_{\overline{1}})\Phi = 0$, we find

$$(\frac{\partial_2\tilde F}{\partial_2 F} - \frac{\partial_{\overline{2}}\tilde F}{\partial_{\overline{2}} F})\partial_v\Phi = 0.$$

Since F and $\tilde F$ are functionally independent $\partial_v\Phi = 0$. Therefore, $\Phi = \Phi(z^1 + \overline{z^1} + F)$.

¿From these relations the main result now follows

Theorem 2 *Let f be non-affine H-projective mapping of non-Einstein four-dimensional Kähler manifold (M_4, g, J) on Kähler manifold (M'_4, g', J). Then in a neighborhood of any point $p \in M_4$ there exist complex coordinates $(z^\alpha, z^{\overline{\alpha}})$, $\alpha = 1, \ldots, n$ in which Kähler potential Φ and components of the metric g are defined by the formulas*

$$\Phi = \mathcal{W}(z^1 + \overline{z^1} + F(z^2, \overline{z^2})), \quad F = \overline{F},\ \partial_2 F \neq 0, \quad \mathcal{W} \neq \text{const}, \tag{52}$$

$$g_{\alpha\overline{\beta}} = \partial_\alpha\partial_{\overline{\beta}}\Phi. \tag{53}$$

In corresponding coordinates in a neighborhood of the point $f(p) \in M'_4$ components of the metric g' are defined by Eq. (16) *where*

$$a_{\alpha\overline{\beta}} = \overline{a_{\overline{\alpha}\beta}} = \partial_\alpha\Phi\partial_1\partial_{\overline{\beta}}\Phi + \rho\partial_\alpha\partial_{\overline{\beta}}\Phi, \quad a_{\alpha\beta} = a_{\overline{\alpha}\overline{\beta}} = 0, \quad \rho \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{54}$$

5 Generalized equidistant Kähler manifolds

If in (52) $\mathcal{W} = \exp(z^1 + \overline{z^1} + F(z^2, \overline{z^2}))$ then (53) defines the metric of an equidistant Kähler manifold [21]. J. Mikeš [17] proved that equidistant Kähler manifolds admit non-affine H-projective mappings and Theorem 2 confirms this result. The Kähler manifolds with potential (52) will be called *generalized equidistant Kähler manifolds.*

Let us consider a Kähler metric g with Kähler potential (52), the tensor field a, defined by Eqs. (54) and gradient covector $\lambda_\alpha = g_{\alpha\overline{1}}$, $\lambda_{\overline{\alpha}} = g_{\overline{\alpha}1}$. As it was shown in the previous section, Eq. (17) holds identically for g, a and λ_α. Therefore, any generalized equidistant Kähler manifold admits non-affine H-projective mapping. Einstein generalized equidistant Kähler manifolds are distinguished by the condition

$$\exp(a\Phi)\partial_1(\partial_1\Phi)^2\partial_2\partial_{\overline{2}}F = f(z)\overline{f(z)}, \qquad a \in \mathbb{R}$$

where $f(z)$ is an appropriate holomorphic function. Four-dimensional Kähler manifolds of constant holomorphic sectional curvature are distinguished by

$$\mathcal{W} = \ln(1 + \exp(z^1 + \overline{z^1} + \ln(1 + \epsilon z^2\overline{z^2}))), \qquad \epsilon = \pm 1.$$

Let us now consider Ricci-flat generalized equidistant Kähler manifolds. Ricci-flat Kähler manifolds possess the hyper Kähler structure of are and have important applications in theoretical physics [7, 9, 10, 6].

Rewritting the condition $R_{ij} = 0$ with the use of (12), we obtain

$$\det(g_{\mu\overline{\nu}}) = f(z)\overline{f(z)}$$

where f is a holomorphic function. Substituting (52) in this formula, we find

$$\mathcal{W}'\mathcal{W}''\partial_{2\overline{2}}F = f(z)\overline{f(z)}.$$

Eq. (52) together with the Note 1 at p. 6 yields $f =$ const. Therefore, the condition $R_{ij} = 0$ can be written in the form

$$\mathcal{W}'\mathcal{W}'' = \text{const}, \qquad \partial_{2\overline{2}}F = \text{const}. \tag{55}$$

The last equation gives

$$F(z^2, \overline{z^2}) = \gamma z^2 \overline{z^2} + \tau(z^2 + \overline{z^2}) + \rho \tag{56}$$

where $\gamma, \tau, \rho =$ const. Integrating (55), we find

$$\mathcal{W} = A(x + B)^{3/2} + C, \qquad x = z^1 + \overline{z^1} + F(z^2, \overline{z^2}) \tag{57}$$

where A, B and C are some constants. After substituting (56) in (57) and changing the variables $z^1 \to z^1 + (\tau^2 - \rho)/2$, $z^2 \to z^2 - \tau$, we find the following general expression for Kähler potential of four-dimensional generalized equidistant manifold

$$\Phi = A(z^1 + \overline{z^1} + \gamma z^2 \overline{z^2})^{3/2}.$$

Here the non-essential constant C is omitted. From the last formula it is easy to find the metric

$$ds^2 = \frac{3}{4} A(z^1 + \overline{z^1} + \gamma z^2 \overline{z^2})^{-1/2} [dz^1 d\overline{z^1} + \gamma z^2 dz^1 d\overline{z^2} + \gamma \overline{z^2} dz^2 d\overline{z^1} + 2\gamma(z^1 + \overline{z^1} + \frac{3}{2} z^2 \overline{z^2}) dz^2 d\overline{z^2}].$$

A real holomorphic vector field X on a Kähler manifold (M, g, J) is called *infinitesimal H-projective transformation* or *H-projective motion* if

$$L_X \omega = \varphi\, \iota + \iota\, \varphi - \varphi \circ J\, \iota \circ J - \iota \circ J\, \varphi \circ J.$$

Here ω is the Riemannian connection of (M, g), ι denotes the internal product ($\iota : TM \times \wedge^m T^* M \to \wedge^{m-1} T^* M$, $\iota_Y \theta \equiv \theta(Y, \ldots)$, $Y \in TM$, $\theta \in \wedge^p T^* M$) and φ is an 1-form on M. An H-projective motion is called *non-affine* if $\varphi \neq 0$.

K. Yano and K. Hiramatu [22] proved that compact Einstein Kähler manifolds admit non-affine H-projective motions iff they have constant holomorphic sectional curvature [15]. A Kähler manifold admiting non-affine H-projective motion also admits non-affine H-projective mapping [20]. With the help of this fact the Theorem 2 yields the following

Theorem 3 *If a compact four-dimensional Kähler manifold admits infinitesimal properly H-projective transformations, then it is generalized equidistant Kähler manifold.*

Acknowledgments

Author is thankful to Prof. A. Aminova and Prof. J. Mikeš for comments, discussions and suggestions. The work was partially supported by Russian Foundation for Fundamental Investigations, Grant No 96-0101031.

Appendix A

Here we provide the proof of Lemma 2.

It follows from (37) that b^α_β depend only on z^1, z^2. Holomorphic coordinate transformations $z'^\alpha = z'^\alpha(z)$ does not change this result and can be used for vanishing of b^1_2.

Let $b^1_2 = 0$, consider the following three possibilities in Eq. (41).

1) Let $b^2_1 = 0$ and the tensor field b does not vanishes. Then either $b^1_1 = b^2_2 = 0$ that contradicts with the assumption about not vanishing of tensor b^i_j or, because M_4 is non-Einstein manifold, $R^1_2 = R^2_1 = 0$ and $R^1_1 \neq R^2_2$. In the last case it is possible to find such (in general, complex) functions v_1 and v_2 that

$$b^\alpha_\beta = v_1 R^\alpha_\beta + v_2 \delta^\alpha_\beta. \tag{A.1}$$

2) If $b^2_1 \neq 0$ and $b^1_1 \neq 0$, then from (41) we have

$$R^1_2 = 0, \qquad \frac{R^1_1 - R^2_2}{2b^1_1} = \frac{R^2_1}{b^2_1},$$

hence, $b^1_1 - b^2_2 = v_1(R^1_1 - R^2_2)$, $b^2_1 = v_1 R^2_1 = 0$, $b^1_2 = v_1 R^1_2$ for some function v_1. By putting $b^\alpha_\beta = v_1 R^\alpha_\beta + \tilde{b}^\alpha_\beta$, we find $\tilde{b}^1_1 - \tilde{b}^2_2 = \tilde{b}^1_2 = \tilde{b}^2_1 = 0$ or $\tilde{b}^\alpha_\beta = v_2 \delta^\alpha_\beta$ where v_2 is some function in the region $U \subset M_4$.

3) At last, in the case $b^2_1 \neq 0$, $b^1_1 = b^2_2 = 0$ we obtain $R^1_2 = R^1_1 - R^2_2 = 0$. Therefore, it is possible to find such functions v_1 , v_2 that Eq. (A.1) holds. Putting $v_1 = v_2 = 0$ in Eq. (A.1), we find $b^i_j = 0$. We come to the conclusion that the formula (A.1) describes all possible cases. In the similar way the relations

$$b^{\overline{\alpha}}_{\overline{\beta}} = \overline{v_1} R^{\overline{\alpha}}_{\overline{\beta}} + \overline{v_2} \delta^{\alpha}_{\beta}, \qquad b^{\overline{\alpha}}_{\beta} = v_1 R^{\overline{\alpha}}_{\beta} + v_2 \delta^{\overline{\alpha}}_{\beta} \equiv 0. \tag{A.2}$$

can be obtained. From here because of reality and symmetry of the tensora a , b and Ricci tensor it follows that v_1 and v_2 are real-valued functions, i.e. (A.1), (A.2) can be rewritten in the form of the tensor relation

$$b^i_j = v_1 R^i_j + v_2 \delta^i_j.$$

¿From here we find $v_2 = -(v_1 R)/2n$, whence

$$b^{\alpha}_{\beta} = v_1 (R^{\alpha}_{\beta} - \frac{R}{2n} \delta^{\alpha}_{\beta}), \tag{A.3}$$

$$b^{\alpha}_{\beta,j} = v_{1,j} (R^{\alpha}_{\beta} - \frac{R}{2n} \delta^{\alpha}_{\beta}) + v_1 (R^{\alpha}_{\beta} - \frac{R}{2n} \delta^{\alpha}_{\beta})_{,j}.$$

Because of (10), (33) and (37) we have $b^{\alpha}_{\beta,j} = 0$ and, denoting $\mathcal{A} = \ln v_1$, we find

$$\mathcal{A}_{,j} = -\frac{(R^{\alpha}_{\beta} - \delta^{\alpha}_{\beta} R/2n)_{,j}}{R^{\alpha}_{\beta} - \delta^{\alpha}_{\beta} R/2n}.$$

The right part of this relation doesn't depend on the variable $y^1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(z^1 - z^{\overline{1}})$, hence, its left part also doesn't depend on y^1 . Because of reality of $\mathcal{A}$ we have

$$\mathcal{A} = \tilde{f}(z^1 + z^{\overline{1}}, z^2, z^{\overline{2}}) + i\tilde{\tau} \cdot (z^1 - z^{\overline{1}}), \qquad \tilde{\tau} \in \mathbb{R},$$

$$v_1 = \exp \mathcal{A} = f(z^1 + z^{\overline{1}}, z^2, z^{\overline{2}}) \exp(i\tau \cdot (z^1 - z^{\overline{1}})), \qquad \tau \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Then from (A.3) we obtain $b^{\alpha}_{\beta} = \exp(2i\tau z^1) \tilde{c}^{\alpha}_{\beta}(z^2)$. Taking into account (37), we find

$$f^{\alpha}_{\beta} = -i \int b^{\alpha}_{\beta} dz^1 = \exp(2i\tau z^1) c^{\alpha}_{\beta}(z^2) + d^{\alpha}_{\beta}(z^2). \tag{A.4}$$

Since $f^{\alpha}_{\alpha} = 0$ we have $c^{\alpha}_{\alpha} = d^{\alpha}_{\alpha} = 0$.

¿From (22), (35) and (A.4)

$$\delta^{\alpha}_1 \partial_{\mu} \Phi R^{\mu}_{\beta} - \partial_{\beta} \Phi R^{\alpha}_1 + d^{\alpha}_{\mu} R^{\mu}_{\beta} - d^{\mu}_{\beta} R^{\alpha}_{\mu} = 0, \tag{A.5}$$

$$c^{\alpha}_{\mu} R^{\mu}_{\beta} - c^{\mu}_{\beta} R^{\alpha}_{\mu} = 0. \tag{A.6}$$

Let us consider separately the following two cases: $c^2_1 \neq 0$ and $c^2_1 = 0$. Under the admissible cooordinate transformations (38) not changing the form of $\Lambda = \partial_1 + \partial_{\overline{1}}$ the components of (1,1)-tensor field t change as following

$$t^{1'}_{1'} = t^1_1 + \frac{dl}{dz^2} t^2_1,$$

$$t^{1'}_{2'} = (\frac{dm}{dz^2})^{-1} (-\frac{dl}{dz^2} t^1_1 - (\frac{dl}{dz^2})^2 t^2_1 + \frac{dl}{dz^2} t^2_2 + t^1_2),$$

$$t^{2'}_{1'} = \frac{dm}{dz^2} t^2_1, \qquad t^{2'}_{2'} = -\frac{dl}{dz^2} t^2_1 + t^2_2.$$

¿From here it is seen that if $c^2_1 \neq 0$ then the components c^{α}_{β} of tensor field c can be reduced to the form

$$c^{\alpha}_{\beta} = \delta^{\alpha}_1 \delta^2_{\beta} \phi + \delta^{\alpha}_2 \delta^1_{\beta}$$

where strikes are ommited and ϕ is a holomorphic function depending on z^2 . Substituting this expression in (A.6), we find $\phi R^2_1 = R^1_2$, $R^1_1 = R^2_2$, hence, with the help of (A.5) we have

$$(\partial_1 \Phi + 2d^1_1) R^1_2 = 0, \qquad (\partial_1 \Phi + 2d^1_1) R^2_1 = 0.$$

If $R^1_2 \neq 0$ or $R^2_1 \neq 0$, then $\partial_1\Phi = -2d^1_1$ is holomorphic function and $g_{1\bar{1}} = g_{1\bar{2}} = 0$, whence, the metric is degenerate. Therefore, $R^1_2 = R^2_1 = R^1_1 - R^2_2 = 0$ that contradicts with our assumption that M_4 is non-Einstein manifold.

So we have $c^2_1 = 0$. In this case with the use of admissible coordinate transformations the tensor fields c and d can be reduced to the form

$$c^\alpha_\beta = \overline{c^{\bar{\alpha}}_{\bar{\beta}}} = c^1_1\delta^\alpha_\beta\varepsilon_\beta, \qquad \varepsilon_\beta = (-1)^{\beta+1}, \tag{A.7}$$

$$d^\alpha_\beta = \overline{d^{\bar{\alpha}}_{\bar{\beta}}} = d^1_1\delta^\alpha_\beta\varepsilon_\beta + \gamma(z^2)\delta^\alpha_1\delta^2_\beta + \zeta\delta^\alpha_2\delta^1_\beta$$

where $\zeta = 0, 1$ and strikes are omitted. After admissible gauge transformation $\Phi' = \Phi + \int\gamma(z^2)dz^2 + \overline{\int\gamma(z^2)dz^2}$, taking into account (35), (A.4), we obtain

$$d^\alpha_\beta = \overline{d^{\bar{\alpha}}_{\bar{\beta}}} = d^1_1\delta^\alpha_\beta\varepsilon_\beta + \zeta\delta^\alpha_2\delta^1_\beta. \tag{A.8}$$

Substituting (A.7) into (A.6), we find $c^1_1R^1_2 = 0$, $c^1_1R^2_1 = 0$, whence, $c^1_1 = 0$ or $R^1_2 = R^2_1 = 0$. In the last case from (A.5) and (A.8) we have $\partial_2\Phi(R^2_2 - R^1_1) = 0$. Since $\partial_2\Phi \neq 0$, we find $R^2_2 - R^1_1 = 0$. We come to Einstein manifold again, therefore, $c^1_1 = 0$ and $f^\alpha_\beta = d^\alpha_\beta(z^2)$. After substituting this result into (35), we prove the Lemma 2.

Appendix B

Here we prove that the condition $f^\alpha_\beta = \delta^\alpha_2\delta^1_\beta$ contradicts with the assumption that considered Kähler manifold is non-Einstein.

¿From (22) and (42) we find

$$R^1_2 = \partial_2\Phi R^2_1, \quad R^1_2\partial_1\Phi + \partial_2\Phi(R^2_2 - R^1_1) = 0. \tag{B.1}$$

Writing down the symmetry conditions $a_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} = a^\mu_\alpha g_{\mu\bar{\beta}} = a_{\bar{\beta}\alpha} = a^{\bar{\mu}}_{\bar{\beta}}g_{\alpha\bar{\mu}}$ of the tensor field a we obtain with the help of (42) the next formulas

$$g_{2\bar{1}} = g_{1\bar{2}}, \qquad g_{1\bar{1}}\partial_2\Phi = g_{2\bar{1}}\partial_{\bar{1}}\Phi + g_{2\bar{2}},$$

$$g_{1\bar{2}}\partial_1\Phi + g_{2\bar{2}} = g_{1\bar{1}}\partial_2\Phi,$$

$$g_{1\bar{2}}\partial_2\Phi - g_{2\bar{1}}\partial_{\bar{2}}\Phi \equiv g_{1\bar{2}}(\partial_2\Phi - \partial_{\bar{2}}\Phi) = 0.$$

¿From the last equation it follows that either $\partial_2\Phi = \partial_{\bar{2}}\Phi$ or $g_{1\bar{2}} = g_{2\bar{1}} = 0$.

Let us first take $g_{2\bar{1}} = g_{1\bar{2}} = 0$, then from (7) the equality $\partial_1 g_{2\bar{2}} = \partial_2 g_{1\bar{1}} = 0$ follows, hence $\partial_1\partial_{\bar{2}}\det(g_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}) = 0$ and, because of (12) we find $R_{1\bar{2}} = R_{2\bar{1}} = 0$, therefore, $R^2_1 = R^1_2 = 0$. Taking into account $\partial_2\Phi \neq 0$, from (B.1) we obtain $R^1_1 - R^2_2 = 0$, which means that the manifold M_4 is Einstein. We came to contradiction with our initial assumption. Hence, in addition to the formula $\partial_1\Phi = \partial_{\bar{1}}\Phi$ we have $\partial_2\Phi = \partial_{\bar{2}}\Phi$. From here using Eqs. (8) – (12), it is possible to deduce that all components of the metric tensor, Christoffel symbols and curvature tensor are real. Then (22) can be written as

$$R_{\alpha\bar{\sigma}}a^{\bar{\sigma}}_{\bar{\beta}} - R_{\sigma\bar{\beta}}a^\sigma_\alpha = 0.$$

¿From here, putting $\alpha, \beta = 1, 2$ and using the identities $\partial_2\Phi \neq 0$, $a^\alpha_\beta = a^{\bar{\alpha}}_{\bar{\beta}}$ and $R_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} = R_{\bar{\alpha}\beta}$, we find $R_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} = 0$, hence, M_4 is Ricci-flat that contradicts with the assumption that M_4 is non-Einstein manifold. *Q.E.D.*

References

[1] Alvarez-Gaume L., Freedman D. Z. Kähler geometry and the renormalization of supersymmetric sigma-models. *Phys. Rev.*. 1980. V.**D22**. P.846.

[2] Aminova A. V. Pseudo Riemannian manifolds with common geodesics. *Uspekhi Matem. Nauk*. 1993. V.**48**. P.107.

[3] Aminova A. V., Kalinin D. A. H-projectively equivalent four-dimensional Riemannian connections. *Russian Math. IZV VUZ.* 1994. V.**38**. N8. P.11.

[4] Aminova A. V., Kalinin D. A. Quantization of Kähler manifolds admitting H-projective mappings. *Tensor*. 1995. V.**56**. P.1.

[5] Aminova A. V., Kalinin D. A. H-projective mappings of four-dimensional Kähler manifolds. 1997. To be published in *Russian Math. IZV VUZ.*

[6] Aminova A. V., Zuev S. V., and Kalinin D. A. On the quaternionic structure of target space and instanton solutions in gravity theory. *Abstr. of Contr. Papers. Int. Conf. GR14*. Florence, 1995. P.A88.

[7] Besse A. L. Einstein manifolds. V.II. Berlin: Springer, 1987.

[8] Bowick M. J., Rajeev S. G. String theory as a Kähler geometry of loop space. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*. 1987. V.**58**. P.535.

[9] Candelas P., Horowitz G., Strominger A., and Witten E. Vacuum configurations for superstrings. *Nucl. Phys.* 1985. V.**B 258**. P.46.

[10] Candelas P., Horowitz G., Strominger A., and Witten E. Superstring phenomenology. In *Symp. on Anomalies, Geometry, Topology.* Eds. W. A. Bardeen & A, R. White. World Sci.: Singapore, 1985. P.377.

[11] Flaherty E. J. Hermitian and Kahler geometry in relativity. Berlin: Springer, 1976.

[12] Kalinin D. A. Kähler magnetic fields on the spaces of constant holomorphic curvature. *Izvestiya vuzov. Fizika.* 1996. N. 1. P.13.

[13] Kalinin D. A. Geometry of quantum systems with Kähler structure. *Thesis. Kazan State University.* 1996.

[14] Kalinin D. A. Trajectories of charged particles in Kähler magnetic fields. Preprint Kazan State Univ. 1996. (to appear in *Repts. Math. Phys.*

[15] Kobayashi S., Nomizu K. Foundation of differential geometry. V.II. N.Y.: Intersci. Publ., 1969.

[16] Kähler E. Über eine bemerkenswerte Hermitishe Metric. *Abh. Math. Semin. Hamburg.*. 1933. B.**9**. S.173.

[17] Mikeš J. On equidistant Kähler spaces. *Matem. Zametki.* 1985. V.**38**. P.627.

[18] Otsuki T., Tashiro Y. On curves in Kahlerian spaces. *Math. J. Okayama Univ.*. 1954. V.**4**. P.57.

[19] Shirokov P. A. Constant fields of vectors and tensors of 2-nd order in Riemannian spaces. *Izvestiya fiz.-mat. ob-va KGU.* 1925. Ser.2. V.**XXV**. P.86.

[20] Sinyukov N. S. Geodesic mappings of Riemannian spaces. Moscow: Nauka, 1979.

[21] Sinyukov N. S., Kurbatova I. N., and Mikeš J. Holomophic-projective mappings of Kähler spaces. Odessa: Odessa Univ. Publ., 1985.

[22] Yano K., Hiramatu H. Isometry of Kaehlerian manifolds to complex projective spaces. *J. Math. Soc. Jap.*. 1981. V.**33**. P.67.